Previous references.

1894-95
~~1901~~
(Sep: Rev:)

File No. 1 of 1894-5
Serial Nos. 1 to 7.

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos.

Major ~~Part~~ B. for January/1903.

SUBJECT.

Inam claim to Jodi Revenue of Shirumalapur Chennagiri Taluk, Shimoga District

1. From the Excise Commr:, No: 540/54 & 4th Aug: 1894. Submits the record of enquiry re claim to the Jodi Revenue by the Inamdar of Shirumalpur. —pc.
2. To the Excise Commr:, No: 3163/19 & 24-Aug:/94: Returns for retransmission, the above pc pc pc.
3. From the Excise Commr:, No: 3105/297 & 19th-

G. R. S. 58

Forwards in compliance, further particulars &c. &c. &c. —

4. From Narahariya char & others of Thirumalapura Village, Chennagiri Taluk. Pray for the proceeds of the toddy Contract of their Village for the five years they have been deprived of the same.

5. To the Petitioners Narahariyachar & others No: $\frac{7014}{Ex:247}$ dt 27-1-1898. Informs they are only entitled to rent of the date trees, and &c &c. &c. —

To the Excise Commr:, No: $\frac{7015}{Ex:248}$ dt 27-1-1898 Forwards copy of above for information.

6. From the Excise Commr:, No: 3816-1648 dt 23-12-1902. Requests return of records

7. To the Excise Commr:, No: 294 dt 15-1-03. Intimates already sent. —

$\frac{21\frac{2}{14}}{}$

Submitted.

This is an Inam case in which the Inamdars of the Timlapur village, Shimoga dist: claim the toddy revenue of the Inam village.

As will be seen from the Dy Commr's finding, the Inamdars base their claim on the original Sanad which is reported to have been lost. Inam register makes no mention of any concession of this right. Inam Supt in his docket no 41/820 the 11th September/89 to the address of the Dy Commr states that the Inamdars have a right to toddy rev. of the village but in the Dy Commr's finding it is recorded that they have no right to open a shop in their village for selling toddy nor was any shop ever opened for the purpose. Excise Commr in his letter no 540/54 8/8/94, 2 July that the Inamdars are not entitled to Excise revenue duty or license

[illegible] from his docket no 13 dt 3-8-94 to the Ex Com[r] to be only 4 the [illegible] of arrack trees in the village while [illegible] for [illegible] and not the duty or license fee on toddy.

fee on Toddy but that they are entitled to the rent of the trees in the village enlisted for by Government Toddy and Farms

If the above view is approved, the petitioners will be informed that the rent of the trees (which alone they are entitled) will be paid to them in accordance with such proportion as may be finally decided upon by Govt.

8/1/98

Have put up a Govt Order to that effect.

16/1/98

Gen. Serial No. 30.]

S.R. 1 31894 1894

From

The Excise Commissioner

in Mysore

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore.

Dated 4th August 1894.

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. 1 + 1 file

General No. 540

No. 54

~~*In reply to*~~

SUBJECT.

Forwards with opinion, copy of a communication from Deputy Commissioner Shemoga District with the original records of enquiry into the claim to Toddy Revenue preferred by the Inamdars of Tamlapuri in the Chennagiri Taluk.

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office.)

Ex. I. Is note on slip -

I do not see that any of the Ryots stated that a Grove was sold. It has to be stated what part of Farm was sold & if a Grove the name of the Grove shd be given - Nothing shd be inserted but what Ryots stated -

The Ex: Cr shd give Pers: Contribution cost of Dr Staff -

Also cost of Amildars & Appr Pun: Pay & allowances for the day of Sale or total cost to be credited for the Dr Sales with Pers: of Dr & Exs of Village

I have asked CS. to prepare an Order in the mean time this case can go by Ex: Dr Draft sheet

1/8 S. 1894

To

The Same No 3163 & 2/8/94
R 401

1/8

Excise Commissioners Office
Bangalore dated 4 August.

No 540 (Encl 4 files)
54

From
The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

To
The Chief Secretary
to the Dewan of Mysore

Sir,

In forwarding herewith, copy of letter No 249/17, dated 23rd/24 July 1894, from the Deputy Commissioner, Shimoga District, together with its original enclosures, and also copy of docket No 13 dated 3rd instant, from the Superintendent of Inam Settlements in Mysore, regarding the claim to Toddy Revenue preferred by the Inamdars of Tirlapur in the Chanagiri Taluk, I have the honor to state that it is clear that the Inamdars are not entitled to Excise Revenue, i.e. duty or license fee on Toddy, but that they are entitled to the rent of the date trees in Village, utilized by Government Farmers, as per particulars below:-

1/3rd of rental including local cess for 1892-93 for 12 months from 1st April 1892 to 31st March 1893.	Rs - A P 14 - 2 - 8

1?/3

1/3rd of rental including local cess for 1893-94 for 15 months from 1st April 1893 to 30th June 1894 . . . . . } 35-5-4

Deducting Rs 0-7-6 and Rs 1-1-10, for 1892-93 and 1893-94, respectively, as per undermentioned details, for the proportionate cost of establishment, the differences payable to the Inamdars are Rs. 13-11-2 and Rs 34-3-6 respectively.

Toddy Revenue of the Chennagiri Taluk	Cost of Establishment of the Chennagiri Taluk	Toddy Revenue of the Inam village of Tirilapur	Proportionate cost debitable to the Inam village of Tirilapur
For 1892-93 Rs 25160-0-0 . .	Rs 804 : :	14-2-8 :	0-7-6
" 1893-94 Rs 31439-6-0 . .	Rs 1005 : :	35-5-4 :	1-1-10.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant.

S Hawkins
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

M.

Shimoga District
Deputy Commissioner's Office
Shimoga 23/24 July 1894

No 249 Enc: 1
13 with Record.

To Extract

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

Sir,

With reference to your official Memo No 307-14/16 of the 21st Instant, I have the honor to forward copy of my finding with the records of enquiry in the case of the Doddy Revenue to be paid to the Inamdars of the Timlapur whole-Inam village in the Chanagiri Taluk for 1892-93 and 1893-94.

2. The Government orders on the subject make no mention of the cost to Government to be deducted out of the realizations, and in your docket quoted in the finding, you direct to deduct the proportionate cost with reference to the Taluk Establishment only.

3. With deference to your views, I submit that I cannot conceive why the proportionate cost of the establishment of the higher offices also should not be taken into account in making the deduction, since it is but fair that Inamdars

shoulds

Correct Vide Cost for Extract

should pay the whole cost of the management of their villages by Government in proportion to the total cost of their Taluk.

4. As for fixing a lump sum, I think it is better to wait for a few years more, as no average can be safely struck on the realization of the two years of the new system. The revenue of the village has fallen proportionately to Rs 72/- at the last sale for the year current. Till a lump sum can be fixed after sufficient experience, it is advisable to pay the amount of the sale year by year, deducting the cost to Government as may be finally decided on.

5 Only two more cases remain to be disposed of in this District as per recent instructions received from your office. A discrepancy is apparent in the references received from the Inam Department, and it has necessitated a further reference to that Department. Hence the delay in the disposal of these two cases.

I have &c

(Signed) Abdul Rahman Z. B.
Deputy Commissioner

From

From

The Superintendent of Inam Settlements.

To

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

Dated 3rd
Despatched 3rd } August 1894

Mis No 13.

In reply to his docket No 434/110 of the 27th Ultmo, has the honor to inform that, from the Inam Settlement Register, it appears that the Inamdars of Pinalapur Sarvamanyam Village in the Channagiri Taluk are entitled to the rent of date trees in the village utilized by Government Farmers, but not to the duty of license, see on todday.

(Signed) J. P. Grant.
Superintendent of Inam
Settlements.

"Copy"

Mr Ketts
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

JR

Sl. 2

S.R. 17 1894

The Excise Comrs
in Mysore.

Dy 22 August 24
No 3163 / R401. In: 28

His letter No 540/54 Dy 4th August 24
submitting for orders the
claim for toddy Cess preferred
by the Inamdars of Shimlapura
Village in the Chennagiri Taluk

In returning the original
Enclosures of the above has the
honor to point out that as
it has not been mentioned
in the Dy Comrs judgment nor
in any of the Mysore reports
and Statements &c. &c. what
Class of Farm was sold, and
the name thereof, Asst the

requested that these particulars may be recorded in the finding of the Dy Comr and the Statements attached thereto and the file resubmitted for orders.

I further requests that i) the proportionate cost of the Grain Excise Rate 2(b) the proportionate share of the pay and allowances of the auditor and the Asst Comr and other employés not included under 2) but actually engaged in conducting the sales of the Taluk Farms & the Farm in question may be reported, As the same will have to be considered when calculating the cost of Grain debitable to the Board These calculations should be recorded in separate memos for each case already reported to be attached thereto. for

reference and duly noted
in all cases Sent up
hereafter

BO

22/8/94

4 For Chairman

S. O.
20/8/94

Gen. Serial No. 80.]

9139

1894-95 R 2478

From The Excise Commissioner
~~The Inspector General of Forests~~
~~and Plantations~~ *in Mysore.*

To 8R. 17/1894 Sl-3

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore.

Dated 19th
Despatched } January 1895
Received

Enclosures No. (1 & 2 files)

General No. 3105
No. 297

In reply to Chief Secretary's docket
No. 3163 dated 22-8-1894
R. 401'

SUBJECT.

Forwards copy of communications from the Deputy Commissioner Shimoga District together with their original enclosure embodying further information regarding the claim to Sodde Revenue preferred by the Inamdar of Shimlapur in the Chennagiri Taluk.

References—(to be entered only in the Dewan's Office).

Submitted for order
2 If approved, orders on the general question sought for orders may be awaited.

8/2 Submitted to the Chief Secy for orders as to the disposal of these 2 files. Vide notes subjoined thereon already

9/2/95 S. R.

28/1 Rev 20/1/95

Excise Commissioner's Office

No. 3105 / 297 (Cur. Files) Bangalore, dated 1st January 189

From

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore.

Sir

With reference to your docket No. 3163 / R. 401, dated 22 / 24 August 1894, I have the honor to forward herewith copy of dockets No.s 1302 / 111, dated 27th December 1894 and 1382 / 118, dated 16th January 189, from the Deputy Commissioner, Shimoga District, together with their original enclosures embodying further information required by Government regarding the claim to Siddy Revenue preferred by the Inamdars of Shimlapur in

in the Channagiri taluk.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

Chief

Excise Commissioner

in Mysore

1894-95

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District

To

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

Dated 27th December 1894

Camp Benavalli

No 1302/111

Enclosures. Number 2

His official Memorandum No 1004/19 dated 28th August last forwarding copy of the Chief Secretary's docket No 3163 dated 22nd Idem regarding the claim for Toddy Revenue by the Inamdars of Shimlapur village in the Shimoga Taluk

P. 401

With reference to the above, has the honor to resubmit the finding noting down the information required

required therein.

A Memo of costs is appended with a copy of Mr McDonnel's D.O of the 17th September last for the consideration of Government.

/ Signed / Abdul Rahman K. Bar
Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District

From.

The Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District.

To

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

Dated 16th January 1895.

No. 1382
Enclosure. Record.

His docket No. 3013/47 dated 11th instant requesting to send the finding regarding the claim of Toddy Revenue from the Inamdars of Shimlapur.

Has the honor to forward the finding with the records of the case.

Signed / K. Ramaswamy Gr
For Deputy Commissioner

"Copy"

Shimlapur

Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

Bangalore
17th September/94

D. O

3.

Dear Sir,

In reply to your Kanarese Docket No 361 of 4th September/94 requesting to be informed of the amount of my pay and batta and those of my establishment when I attended the Todoy Sales of Thermajur and other Taluks in the Shimoga District during 1892, for the settlement of Inamdars dues in accordance with the Chief Secretary's No $\frac{3163}{R\ 401}$ of 22d August/94, I beg to state that the records are not with me as I am now on special duty in the Dewans Office.

2. Government published the expenditure for the whole Province in Proceedings No $\frac{6017-8}{RF\ 192-92}$ of 28th October and the proportionate Cost can be struck on the Revenue realized for the whole Province, District Taluk, Shop and Grove if necessary But this would not be fair as I had to do the work de-novo and collect Statistics &c. at a very heavy initial Cost —

while my own pay and that of my office and the Special Officer and his allowances were all on a very high scale I would therefore suggest this fact being explained and the expenses for the next two years 1893-94 and 1894-95 added and an average struck and the needful data worked on that scale which would be fair to both Government and the Inamdar instead of adopting the exceptional and abnormal figures pertaining to the year 1892-93

I beg to remain
Sir
Yours faithfully
sd/ Mc Donnell
Spl asst Commr
Doing duty Dewans office

Copy

Abdul Rahman, Esq
Dy Commissioner
Thoothoody

Accompaniment of this Office No. 1302/111 of the 27th December/94 forwarding the finding in the Case of the Inam Grove of Tirnalapur. Chennagiri Taluk. Vide Chief Secretary's Docket No. 3163/R 401 of 22/24 August/94 to the address of the Excise Commissioner.

---

(1) What class of Farm was sold and the name thereof.' } Farm No XVIII. Inam Grove Tirnalapur village. (Whole Inam) Chennagiri Taluk.

(2)

(a) Proportionate Cost of the District Excise Establishment.

1	Excise Revenue of the District inclusive of the Toddy Revenue of the Inam village 2. Rs			Excise Establishment Cost of the District 3 Rs			Sale amount of the Inam Grove 4 Rs			Proportionate Cost of the District Excise Establishment 5 Rs		
For 1892-93 12 months	188955	2		9186	14		40			1	15	1
For 1893-94 15 months.	206904	1	11	13278	9	5	100			6	6	8

(b). Proportionate Share of the Pay and allowances of the Amildar and the Deputy

Peons who served him in Camp) not included under (a) but actually engaged in Condg the sales of the Taluk Farms and the Farm in question.

1	Tody Revenue Channagiri Taluk 2 Rs			Pay and allowances of Amildar &c Commr and Establishment 3 Rs			Tody Revenue of the Inam villages 4 Rs			Proportionate Share 5 Rs			Remarks
For 1892-93	23285	10	.	12th and 13th January 92 * 104	1	3	40	.	.	.	2	10	* This amount does not pay and allowances of officers who conducted the sales of the Taluk Farms do is appended.
For 1893-94.	26037	10	8	9th to 12th February 1893 242	1	10	100	.	.	.	14	10	

Camp Benavalli
27th December 94

Abdul Rahiman, KBr
Dy Commissioner
Shimoga District

Reference 13/14

To Vern Records for refees

Mark
14/12/97

1892-93 ... 95-96 ...

Subd. 4/1/98

No previous petn is traceable, but the cmpte about the toddy revenue of the village is stated to be in the Excise Branch

MG
5/1/98

To Excise Brn

MG 5/1/98

Subd with previous references.

8/1/97

Dn: 255

S.R. 1897-98

No: 13-14

Name of Petitioner Narasimyachu & others

Village Thimmalapura

Taluk Chennapura

District Tumkur

Date of Arzi 4-12-97

Date of Receipt 10-12-97

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure Nil

ABSTRACT

State that Ijara proceeds of the Shendi trees situated in their Inam village of Thimmalpura is held in deposit by Govt. Pray that their petition for the refund of 5 years Ijara proceeds which has been pending before Govt may be disposed off at an early date

11/14/97

By post
4/4/97
One anna stamp
affixed -
Reference

COURT FEE
ONE ANNA

No.

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.
PETITION DEPARTMENT.
REG'D. No. 1516 R



S. R. 19/1898

S.S

Endt: forwarded to Krishnachar and another, Inamdars of Hirekeppura village, Bhemnagiri Taluk, Shimoga Dt:, in reply to their petn: dy: no. 1514 dy 4-(29) praying for the delivery of the proceeds of the toddy contract of their village for the five years during which they have been deprived of the same.

No 704/8n247 dy 27th Jan 1898.

The Petrs: are informed that, according to the Inam Settlt: Regn:, they are entitled only to ½ no. 1 of the sale trees in the village utilized by Govt: farmers; and that this sum minus cost of collection will be paid to them when rules for the differentiation of the items of work by the Inamdar shall have been finally settled by Govt:

No. 715/248 dy 27th Jan 1898

Secy:

Copy to the Ex Commr: for information with reference to his letter No 3105/297 dy 15-12-95, with 2 enclosures returned.

Dated 2nd April 1898

19-1-98

This may issue. While dy. Commr: I decided this case and submitted the records through the Collector. The Inamdar has not appealed against anything as an advance even. Why not make an advance as was done in several other cases?

19/1/98

2 Pmt: Received Rs. 20-1-0 P.T.

7130.
26 DEC. 1902

Ex. 1603/1902 26/12/02
82.6

FROM The Excise Commissioner
SR 19 1894 to the Superi

To The Secretary,
to the Government of His
Highness the Maharaja of Mysore
General and Revenue Department.

Dated 22nd
Despatched 23rd } December 1902.
Received

General No. 3816
No. 164

Enclosure No.

Request to return the re
cords regarding the claim to
Toddy Revenue preferred by
the Inamdars of Tinlapur
village in the Chamarajanagar
taluk

Excise Revenue
A
25.12.02

Rd 26/12

Ex. 7 16/01

No 3816
T64

Excise Commissioners Office
Bangalore Dated 22nd December 1902
23 — 12 — 02

From

The Excise Commissioner,
in Mysore.

To The Secretary,
to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,
General and Revenue Depts.

Sir,

I have the honor to request that you will be so good as to return the records regarding the claim to Toddy Revenue preferred by the Inamdars of Tumlapura village in the Chennagiri taluk forwarded with this office letter no 3105/297, dated 19th January 1895. The Deputy Commissioner, Shemoga District, wants them for reference in connection with the present enquiry.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

For Excise Commissioner,
in Mysore

No 294
E.T. 14-1901
dy 15/1/03
S-R 718 dt.
to the Executive Engr
in Mysore

His no 3816/164 dy
22/23/12/02 requesting
to return the records
pertaining the claim
to lodge preference
presented by the Inamdar
of Timlapur village,
Chincholi taluk,
forwarded to Govt
with his letter no 3105/297
dy 9.1.98

With reference
to the above, I am
the honor to invite
attention to this
office memo. no 7015/2468
dy 27.1.98
forwarded a

Copy of endorsement
No 7014/207 dt 27/4/98
granted to Venkatachalam,
Landless, the Incumbent
of the village in question,
with which the
Connected records
were returned to
the Regie Comr

BE.

27/4/98

Forwarded